APPROVED Minutes for Faculty Senate Meeting
Wednesday, October 1, 2014
3-5pm, 310A Student Center

Present: Sandy Norton, Kim Schatzel, Steven Hayworth, Matt Evett, Samir Tout, Linda Myler, Tricia McTague, Ray Quiel, Phil Smith, Margrit Zinggeler, Bob Winning, Robert Orrange, Daryl Barton, Patrick Koehn, Mark Higbee, Judith Kullberg, Michael McVey, Mahmud Rahman, Ron Woods, Steven Mrdalj, Ron Flowers, Zachary Moore, Randal Baier, Kay Woodiel, Dan Foster, Ken Rusniak, Marilyn Wedenoja, Tierny Orfgen, Gabriela Dumitrascu

(* indicates possible item for full Senate meeting)

1. [3:05] Agenda approval - Approved
2. [3:10] Approval of minutes from FS meeting, 9/17/14 Approved with 1 abstention
3. Introduction – Adam Reeve – student government
4. [3:25] Committee Reports
   a. Faculty Senate Budget Committee: Revised charge (see below) (David Crary) * - Includes AAUP input; vice chair elected by membership. Motion to approve passed unanimously. Met last week with Howard and Susan. Understand earlier difficulties better. First regular meeting with Jim Carroll tomorrow.
   b. Academic affairs – Gen Ed Review: encourage faculty to respond to Gen Ed survey which is forthcoming. Global engagement council – moving ahead with questionnaire on programs and expertise. Met with provost – appreciate support; provost expressed approval. Also raised concerns about new policy on State department warnings and impact on study abroad program. Concerns: limit student travel. Provost – This is not a new policy. Alerts and warnings come from State department. Warning is more severe – that’s what EMU attends to. Common practice to use this as determination for student travel. Judy - other approaches are used at other universities. There are gaps in perceptions of “warning”. E.g., Canada and UK do not recognize El Salvador as a problem. Also no communication with faculty about new application in policy. Provost is inquiring about that. More information will be collected. This body will discuss again. Take this back to faculty for discussion.
   c. Institutional Issues
      (i) LMS implementation (Daryl Barton) *
         1. Canvas implementation committee met – migration schedule; first group of fully online courses (taught at least twice) transferred mid-October (Fall 2011-Fall 2014). Next batch upcoming, including course shells. June 30, 2015
eCollege is done. EMU goes to Canvas May 1. Aim is for faculty who teach fully online courses should have access to Canvas Nov. 1. Everyone else (in those 2800-see report) – mid November. An informational letter will be coming out via email. Take Daryl’s report to faculty and discuss.

(ii) Moving administration of FDC to Assoc. Provost Jeff Kentor’s area (Randy Baier and Sandy Norton) *
   a. This is not a move to the Grad School but under Associate Provost Kentor.
   b. Sense of shift from teaching/learning to research. Does the Senate wish to call for keeping the focus on teaching, learning?
   d. Other committee reports

5. [3:50] Provost’s Remarks
   a. FDC – decision based on fine tuning J Carroll’s and J Kentor’s jobs. No discussion of recasting priorities. Conducting national search for FDC director. Interim HR policy. History of interims becoming permanent. Jobs will now be posted. Interims are very limited (6 months unless it’s an exception approved by Provost and HR). Requirement to do search. Faculty discussion – ODRA leader’s expertise is not in student learning, and FDC director reports there. This is a problem. Move sends a message that emphasis will shift. KS – no change in center budget; no direction to stop FDC programming. Sandy will invite Kentor to a future meeting. Query our faculty – is there a sense that existing focus of FDC remain teaching and learning.
   COT (Jim Carroll) and COE (chaired by Murali Nair) searches. Expected start dates July 1. Campus civility work group will reconvene. KS-is now a certified title IX administrator. Faculty request to have faculty member on title IX committee.

6. [4:05] Committee appointments (see attached ballot) *
   a. *Academic Information Technology Advisory Committee-Matt Evett William Welsh
   b. Banner/ERP Business Operations Committee
   c. Computer Refresh Program
   d. Efellows
   e. FDC Advisory Council
   f. Senate Budget and Resources Committee
   g. General Education Course Vetting Committee
   h. General Education Review Committee
   i. General Education Evaluation Assessment Committee
   j. *Global Engagement Council-Zach Moore, Denise Pilato
   k. Institutional Review Board
      *contested
      All uncontested nominations were approved

7. [4:20] FAC topics for fall *
a. EMU as a Comprehensive University, 10/7/14 *-Need people who can speak to university focus including liberal arts rather than vocational education focus. Send candidates to Sandy. Existing budget model rewards credit hour production. Concern - access to liberal arts/humanities may be limited to elite universities.

b. Strong New and Continuing Programs and their relation to enrollment, 12/5/14 *

8. [4:30] Goals and objectives for the Faculty Senate in 2014-15 *
   a. Faculty Senate and AAUP
   b. Shared Governance

9. [4:40] New Business—9/22/14 Meeting of faculty and Regents on EMU and EAA: report and new Faculty Senate Resolution (as advised by the Faculty Senate Executive Board) * - FSEB supports withdrawal of EMU from EAA.
   Classroom scheduling system – Randy and Matt will look into this in terms of where the issue should sit.
   Tobacco Free Campus Committee – Implementation targeted for July 1

10. [5:00] Adjournment - approved

The next Faculty Senate Executive Board meeting will be held October 8, 2014 in SC room 320. The next full Faculty Senate meeting will be held October 15, 2014 in SC 310A.

---

From David Crary, Budget & Resources Committee chair (Approved by Faculty Senate Executive Board 9/24/14; awaiting comment and review by AAUP & Provost’s Office)

Budget and Resource Committee
of
University Faculty Senate

I. Purpose

The Budget and Resource Committee (BRC) is a standing committee of the Faculty Senate. The specific charge of the BRC is to:

A. Review the current fiscal budget and budgetary process,
B. Suggest budget priorities and opportunities within the Division of Academic & Student Affairs for the next fiscal year.
C. Make annual reports to the Faculty Senate and request Senate approval of major budgetary recommendations from the BRC.
II. Membership of BRC

A. The Chair of the BRC will be appointed by the Faculty Senate. The chair also fulfills a faculty representative position from his/her academic unit to BRC. The BRC should consist of seven faculty members (2 CAS, 1 COE, 1 COT, 1 COB, 1 CHHS, 1 LIB.) In addition the AAUP may appoint a faculty representative who shall be a non-voting member of the BRC.

B. Appointments and Responsibilities of Faculty Representatives in BRC:
   1. Faculty Representatives are appointed by the Faculty Senate in an open election.
   2. In the first year of BRC’s inception, the terms of appointments will be for two or three years. In the subsequent years, the terms will be staggered to elect at least two faculty representatives every year.

C. The BRC will select a vice-chair from its membership to assist the chair in planning committee activities.

III. Role of the Chair

The Chair of BRC is responsible for

A. Planning agendas and maintaining records for the working of BRC.
B. Coordinating the work of sub-committees, if and when formed.
C. Reporting to FSEB and Faculty Senate on the work and recommendations of BRC.

IV. Role of the Provost’s Office

The Provost’s Office, in support the work of the BRC, is encouraged to provide information, reports, and materials needed by BRC to accomplish the committee’s charge.