Eastern Michigan University Office of Academic Human Resources Memorandum

To: Sufian Qrunfleh, Interim Department Head

Marketing

From: Brian Pappas, Assistant Vice President

Academic Affairs

Date: April 21, 2021

Re: Approved DED

Attached is a copy of the revised Departmental Evaluation Document for the Marketing Department approved by the University Standing Committee on January 13, 2021. Please take steps to assure that faculty members are aware of these approved changes. The revised copy will be posted for reference on the Academic Human Resources website, under the DOCUMENTS tab in July, and will be effective starting in the fall semester.

Thank you.

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION DOCUMENT

Department/School of Marketing
College of Business
Date of Last DED Revision: November 21, 2014
Date of Department Faculty Vote:December 9, 2016
Yes <u>17</u> No <u>0</u> Abstain <u>0</u>
APPROVALS:
Harush Saclow 12/9/2016 Personnel Committee Chair (Date)
Department Head/School Director (Date)
N - OI
Dean (Date)
APPROVED BY THE DEPARTMENT EVALUATION DOCUMENT STANDING COMMITTEE ON: 1/13/2021
Charles Cunningham (JA) 1/13/2021 Bin Hopen 4/21/21
Jacob Altman 1/13/2021 Mary Linblade 1/13/21

EVALUATION

Each department shall conduct faculty evaluations using criteria, procedures and techniques specified in its Departmental Evaluation Document and the Agreement between Eastern Michigan University (EMU) and the EMU Chapter of the American Association of University Professors (AAUP) **Article XV**.

I. CRITERIA

Candidates must satisfy all elements of the evaluation criteria provided herein as well as all terms and conditions of the EMU-AAUP Collective Bargaining Agreement. In case of conflict, the more stringent criteria shall apply.

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION DOCUMENT, Department of Marketing Page 2 of 22 Faculty Evaluation: Criteria, Procedures and Techniques

II APPOINTMENT STANDARDS

	ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS AND ADDITIONAL CRITERIA	EQUIVALENCIES OR EXCEPTIONS
PROFESSOR	An earned Doctorate in Business Administration with a Marketing related focus* from an AACSB accredited school and a record of Scholarly/Creative activity equal to or better than that necessary to receive an Average rating.	Note: JD (Juris Doctorate) is the equivalent of an earned Doctorate in Business Administration with a Marketing related focus from an AACSB accredited school within the law discipline.
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	An earned Doctorate in Business Administration with a Marketing related focus* from an AACSB accredited school and a record of Scholarly/Creative activity equal to or better than that necessary to receive an Average rating.	
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	An earned Doctorate in Business Administration with a Marketing related focus* from an AACSB accredited school and a record of Scholarly/Creative activity equal to or better than that necessary to receive an Average rating.	
INSTRUCTOR	An earned Doctorate in Business Administration with a Marketing related focus* from an AACSB accredited school and a record of Scholarly/Creative activity equal to or better than that necessary to receive an Average rating.	

^{*} Marketing-Related (Includes but not limited to E-Commerce, International Business, Integrated Marketing Communications, Operations Management, and Supply Chain Management)

III REAPPOINTMENT AND TENURE STANDARDS PROFESSOR

Year	1	2	3
Evaluation	Initial	Full/R	Full/T
	Interim		
Instructional Effectiveness	A	A	DAA
Scholarly/Creative	X	\mathbf{X}^*	DAA in one &
Activity			A in the other
Service	A	A	DAA in one &
			A in the other

* = Advisory Only

.

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

ASSOCIATETROFESSOR							
Year	1	2	3	4			
Evaluation	Initial Interim	Full/R	Comprehensive Interim	Full/T			
Instructional Effectiveness	A	A	A	DAA			
Scholarly/Creative Activity	X	X^*	X	DAA in one & A in the other			
Service	A	A	A	DAA in one & A in the other			

* = Advisory only

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Evaluation	Initial Interim	Initial Interim	Full/R	Comprehensive Interim	Full/T
Instructional Effectiveness	A	A	A	A	DAA
Scholarly/Creative Activity	X	X	\mathbf{X}^*	X	DAA in one & A in the other
Service	A	A	A	A	DAA in one & A in the other

* = Advisory Only

INSTRUCTOR

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6
Evaluation	Initial Interim	Initial Interim	Full/ R	Comprehensive Interim	Comprehensive Interim	Full/T
Instructional Effectiveness	A	A	A	A	DAA	DAA
Scholarly/Creative Activity	X	X	X*	X	X	DAA in one & A in the other
Service	A	A	A	A	A	DAA in one & A in the other

^{* =} Advisory Only

REAPPOINTMENT AND TENURE STANDARDS For Untenured Faculty Hired After September 1, 2015

PROFESSOR

Year	2	3
Evaluation	Full/R	Full/T
Instructional Effectiveness	A	DAA
Scholarly/Creative	X*	DAA (or A if
Activity		DAA in Service)
Service	A	DAA (or A if
		DAA in
		Scholarly)

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Year	2	4
Evaluation	Full/R	Full/T
Instructional Effectiveness	A	DAA
Scholarly/Creative Activity	X*	DAA (or A if DAA in Service)
Service	A	DAA in one/A in the other

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Year	3	5
Evaluation	Full/R	Full/T
Instructional Effectiveness	A	DAA
Scholarly/Creative Activity	X*	DAA (or A if DAA in Service)
Service	A	DAA (or A if DAA in Scholarly)

INSTRUCTOR

	RECTOR	
Year	3	6
Evaluation	Full/R	Full/T
Instructional Effectiveness	A	DAA
Scholarly/Creative Activity	X*	A
Service	A	A

^{* =} Advisory only.

IV. PROMOTION STANDARDS

	YEAR ELIGIBLE	ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS	INSTRUCTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS	SCHOLARLY /CREATIVE ACTIVITY	SERVICE
FULL PROFESSOR SALARY ADJUSTMENT	10 years as full professor at EMU	Doctorate	DAA	DAA in one & A in the other	DAA in one & A in the other
TO PROFESSOR	5 years as associate professor at EMU	Doctorate	DAA	DAA in one & A in the other	DAA in one & A in the other
TO ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	5 years as assistant professor at EMU	Doctorate	DAA	DAA in one & A in the other	DAA in one & A in the other
TO ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	2 years as instructor at EMU	Doctorate	DAA	DAA in one & A in the other	DAA in one & A in the other

V. EVALUATION TECHNIQUES

A. Instructional Effectiveness

I. <u>Data Collection Procedures</u>

Each applicant must include a personal report of activities and accomplishments (see the Evaluation Procedures Section for specific instructions concerning format).

Supportive to this criterion is evidence of the extent to which the candidate:

1. Prepares for Teaching

- a. seeks latest information in the subject area(s) taught, by reading, attending professional conferences and/or by communicating with colleagues; and
- b. regularly evaluates his/her own past teaching methods, procedures, and course content.

2. Plans effectively for teaching

- a. has a clear idea of the function of his/her course(s) within the Department, within the University and/or community, and of its role in preparing students for careers;
- b. has a clear idea of the long-term objectives for the course(s) and for the day-to-day classroom activities;
- c. has a clear and relevant plan of action to accomplish both long and short term objectives; and
- d. evaluates students so as to measure the attainment of objective set forth.

3. Practices good teaching methods

- a. clearly informs students of the purposes and objectives of the course(s) and units of study in the course(s);
- b. helps students develop methods of study and skills in self-direction;
- c. keeps students informed of specific responsibilities (e.g., study requirements);
- d. endeavors to establish good communication with students;
- e. promotes classroom procedures and surroundings which encourage learning; and
- f. regularly seeks information from students regarding their levels of attainment and informs them of his/her estimation of their performance.

4. Is committed to students

- a. available to students who need his/her help;
- b. works beyond regular classroom responsibilities to help students with independent learning experiences (e.g., special problems, independent study, thesis, publication(s);
- c. keeps up-to-date regarding practices and procedures necessary for academic advising; and
- d. assists students with academic problems.

II. Procedures of Classroom visitation by peers and department head.

The evaluation of faculty teaching online courses will follow the same procedures as the face-to-face class evaluation. In lieu of classroom visitation, there will be an online course website visitation. The rating scale for student evaluations in cases of online classes will be as per Form 2.

Evaluation Reports:

- 1. Faculty member's report of activities and accomplishments.
- 2. Classroom visitation procedures: For every Full Evaluation at least two members from the Personnel Committee must complete classroom evaluations. Each person visiting the applicant's classroom (including the Department Head) must discuss the time and date of the visit with the person being evaluated before the visit, making sure that an examination or other non-teaching activities are not scheduled for that date; all evaluators must complete Form (Appendix A) Instructor Evaluation Report (see Page 19) and both the person being evaluated and the evaluator must sign the Form; within five (5) days the person being evaluated must be given a copy of the Form. The Faculty Member shall be entitled to up to two additional peer evaluations by faculty chosen by mutual agreement of the Faculty Member and the Department Head.
- 3. Department Head evaluations, including a classroom visitation.
- 4. Department Personnel Committee evaluation, including classroom visitations by two members of the Personnel Committee.
- 5. Student evaluations will be made using the University-wide evaluation system, which includes a subset of ten (10) questions determined by a vote of Faculty Members in the Department (Form 1 or 2: Course Evaluation Survey). The mean score of this subset of questions for all student evaluations for all classes taught by the faculty member while in rank must be determined. The weights to be used for averaging the responses are shown in Ratings.

III. Ratings

Course Evaluation Survey Response Category Weights

strongly agree = 5 agree = 4 undecided = 3 disagree = 2 strongly disagree = 1 DEPARTMENT EVALUATION DOCUMENT, Department of Marketing Page 10 of 22 Faculty Evaluation: Criteria, Procedures and Techniques

The following rating scale will be used to make evaluations:

Course Evaluation Survey Average Rating Scale

Exceptional (E): greater than 4.1 to 5.0

Distinctly Above Average (DAA): greater than 3.3 to 4.1

Average (A): greater than 2.6 to 3.3

Below Average (BA):

- 6. Teaching awards
- 7. Other areas
- 8. The Personnel Committee and the Department Head will evaluate all evidence submitted. For Interim meetings, the Personnel Committee and the Department Head will together meet with the applicant to discuss his/her performance and suggest appropriate directions for improvement, if such direction is necessary. Written reports will be made separately by the Personnel Committee and the Department Head giving the rationale for the ratings awarded for full evaluations for reappointment, tenure and promotion.

Exceptional (E): Awarded when the quality of instruction offered by the applicant shall be evaluated as that of a truly superior teacher. Evaluators must describe (or in the case of student evaluations, quantify) performance as better in quality than distinctly above average.

Distinctly Above Average (DAA): Awarded when the quality of instruction offered by the applicant shall be evaluated as that of an outstanding teacher. Evaluators must describe (or in the case of student evaluations, quantify) performance as better in quality than average.

Average (A): Awarded when the quality of the instruction offered by the applicant shall be evaluated as that of a good teacher. This is the minimum acceptable level of performance.

Below Average (BA): Awarded when the quality of the instruction offered by the applicant is below the standards of a good teacher. Evaluators must describe (or in the case of student evaluations, quantify) the deficiencies in performance.

B. Scholarly and/or Creative Activity

1. <u>Data Collection Procedures</u>

1. Each applicant must include a personal report of his/her scholarly and/or creative activities and provide copies of papers, articles, books, publications and/or other tangible documentation (see previous pages for specific instructions concerning format). Scholarly and/or creative activities include the following categories:

Categories for Marketing-Related (Includes but not limited to E-Commerce, International Business, Integrated Marketing Communications, Operations Management, and Supply Chain Management) Faculty:

I Professional monograph or textbook published by a college book publisher or by a reputable professional or scholarly organization. Refereed articles and refereed cases published in academic or professional journals.

II Refereed papers presented at academic national, international and regional conferences. Marketing-related cases involving fieldwork, and published in marketing-related texts or proceedings.

III Non-refereed articles, papers, or presentations based on high quality research work disseminated at regional, national and professional marketing-related conferences.

IV Working papers of journal or proceedings quality. High quality, original works disseminated in the classroom, among practitioners or among a wider community.

Categories for Law Faculty:

I Professional monograph or textbook published by a publisher paying royalties or by a reputable professional or scholarly organization. Casenotes or comments published in a law review. Articles published in academic or professional journals reviewed by one or more attorneys or professionals dealing, at least in part, with the legal and/or ethical and/or educational aspects of any subject.

II Articles or papers based on high quality research and dealing with, at least in part, the legal aspects of the subject which are presented at national, international, regional or state conferences and are refereed either prior to presentation or publication in proceedings. Appellate briefs involving substantial research and designed to have a significant impact on state or federal law.

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION DOCUMENT, Department of Marketing Page 12 of 22 Faculty Evaluation: Criteria, Procedures and Techniques

III Non-refereed articles, papers or presentations dealing with, at least in part, the legal aspects of any subject which are disseminated at state, regional, national or international conferences.

IV Working papers dealing with, at least in part, the legal aspects of any subject which are of journal or proceedings quality. High quality and original works among practitioners or among a wider community.

2. Ratings

Faculty member must accomplish one of the above categories (or a combination as stated in the following Tables) while in rank to achieve a given rating. Proportional credit will be given in instances with six or more authors. A single scholarly activity may not be counted in more than one area. In meeting the scholarly activity requirements for tenure, or for promotion, each applicant <u>must have at least two refereed journal articles</u> included in his/her accomplishments.

Table 2: Professor Ratings for Scholarly/Creative Activity

	Number of Books/Articles*		Number of Number of Papers/Cases Non-Reference Articles			
Category	Ī	-or-	<u>II</u>	or <u>III</u>	-or-	<u>IV</u>
2-Year Reappointment Rating						
Е	5		9	12		48
DAA	4		7	10		32
A	3		5	8		16
Tenure Rating*						
E	6		12	15		60
DAA	5		10	13		40
A	4		8	11		30

Note:

E = Exceptional

DAA = Distinctly Above Average

^{*}In meeting the scholarly/creative activity requirements for tenure, or for promotion, each applicant must have at least two refereed journal articles included in his/her accomplishments.

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION DOCUMENT, Department of Marketing Page 13 of 22 Faculty Evaluation: Criteria, Procedures and Techniques

Table 3: Associate Professor Ratings for Scholarly/Creative Activity

	Number of Books/Articles*		Number of Papers/Cases	Numb Non-Re Artic	fereed	Number of Working Papers
Category	Ī	-or-	<u>II</u>	-or <u>II</u>	<u>I</u> -or-	<u>IV</u>
3-Year Reappointment Rating						
Е	3		7	9		30
DAA	2		5	7		20
A	1		3	5		10
Tenure Rating*						
E	4		8	10		36
DAA	3		6	8		24
A	2		4	6		12
Promotion to Full Professor*						
E	6		12	15	i	60
DAA	5		10	13	3	40
A	4		8	11	l	30

Note:

E = Exceptional

DAA = Distinctly Above Average

^{*}In meeting the scholarly/creative activity requirements for tenure, or for promotion, each applicant <u>must have at least two refereed journal articles</u> included in his/her accomplishments.

 Table 4: Assistant Professor Ratings for Scholarly/Creative Activity

	Number of Books/Articles*	Number of Papers/Cases	Number Non-Refer Article	reed Working
Category	<u>I</u> -or-	<u>II</u>	-or <u>III</u>	-or- <u>IV</u>
3-Year Reappointment Rating				
E	3	6	8	24
DAA	2	4	6	16
A	1	2	4	8
5-Year Reappointment Rating				
E	3	7	9	32
DAA	2	5 3	7	20
A	1	3	5	10
Tenure Rating*				
Е	4	8	10	36
DAA	3	6	8	24
A	2	4	6	12
Promotion to Associate Professor	r*			
Е	4	8	10	36
DAA	3	6	8	24
A	2	4	6	12
			_	

Note:

E = Exceptional

DAA = Distinctly Above Average

^{*}In meeting the scholarly/creative activity requirements for tenure, or for promotion, each applicant <u>must have at least two refereed journal articles</u> included in his/her accomplishments.

 Table 5:
 Instructor Ratings for Scholarly/Creative Activity

	Number of Books/Articles*		mber of ers/Cases		Number of Non-Refereed Articles		Number of Working Papers
Category	Ī	-or-	<u>II</u>	-or	Ш	-or-	<u>IV</u>
3-Year Reappointment Rating							
E	2		3		4		12
DAA	1		2		3		6
A	0		1		2		3
5-Year Reappointment Rating							
E	3		6		9		24
DAA	2		4		6		12
A	1		2		3		6
Tenure Rating*							
E	4		8		10		36
DAA	3		6		8		24
A	3 2		0		0		0
Promotion to Assistant Professo	r*						
E	4		8		10		36
DAA			6		8		24
A	3 2		0		0		0

Note:

E = Exceptional

DAA = Distinctly Above Average

^{*}In meeting the scholarly/creative activity requirements for tenure, or for promotion, each applicant <u>must have at least two refereed journal articles</u> included in his/her accomplishments.

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION DOCUMENT, Department of Marketing Page 16 of 22 Faculty Evaluation: Criteria, Procedures and Techniques

Exceptional (E):

Distinctly Above Average (DAA)

Average (A):

Below Average (BA):

PPE Scholarly/Creative Activity Ratings

Scholarly/Creative Activity—the faculty member's performance category will be based on the number of scholarly/creative activity points accumulated over the evaluation period. A rating of Average=2 points. Points will be earned as follows: referred journal articles, referred papers published in the proceedings of a conference, and published books (or categories I, II for law faculty) equal 2 points each. Non-referred articles/paper/research/presentations/cases (or categories III, IV for law faculty) equal 1 point each.

C. Service Activity

1. <u>Data Collection Procedures</u>

The applicant will clearly identify his/her service activities related to the Department and the University in a narrative text (see the Evaluation Procedures Section for specific instructions concerning format). Supportive evidence must be provided to indicate the quantity of different service activities and the quality of the effort extended in those activities.

Service Activities:

Service to the Department, University or community may include, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. Departmental, College, University, business and public committee activities
- 2. Work with student organizations
- 3. Contributions toward curriculum development
- 4. Special assignments and offices held at Eastern Michigan University
- 5. Service to professional organizations and honor societies
- 6. Consulting
- 7. Other professionally related service activities

2. Ratings

The Personnel Committee and the Department Head will evaluate all evidence submitted in terms of the number of service units accomplished while in rank, and the quality of service rendered. For interim meetings, the personnel committee and the Department Head will together meet with

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION DOCUMENT, Department of Marketing Page 17 of 22 Faculty Evaluation: Criteria, Procedures and Techniques

the applicant to discuss his/her performance and suggest appropriate directions for improvement, if such direction is necessary. Written reports will be made separately by the Personnel Committee and the Department Head giving the rationale for the ratings awarded for full evaluations for reappointment, tenure and promotion.

Service Rating Requirements in Terms of Service Units:

A Service Unit is defined as service on a committee for one academic year. Other types of service shall be equated to the service unit standard depending on effort expended in the performance of the service (this determination will be made by the Personnel Committee and the Department Head together.) A service unit at the College and/or University counts double; e.g., one academic year on the College of Business Development Board will be counted as two units. Candidates for Associate or Full Professor ranks must show at least two of the service units shown below at the College and/or University level. Service units are cumulative.

Table 6: Professor Service Unit Ratings

<u>Evaluation</u>	Year 2 <u>F</u>	Year 3 <u>T</u>	Promotion to Prof.	<u>PPE</u>
Е	6	18	18	
DAA	4	14	14	
A	2	10	10	10

Table 7: Associate Professor Service Unit Ratings

<u>Evaluation</u>	Year 1 <u>II</u>	Year 2 <u>F</u>	Year 3 <u>CI</u>	Year 4 <u>T</u>	Promotion to Assoc.	<u>PPE</u>
Е	3	6	9	14	14	
DAA	2	4	6	11	11	
A	1	2	3	8	8	8

Table 8: Assistant Professor Service Unit Ratings

<u>Evaluation</u>	Year 3 <u>F</u>	Year 4 <u>CI</u>	Year 5 <u>T</u>	Promotion to Assist.	<u>PPE</u>
Е	4	6	10	10	
DAA	3	4	8	8	
A	2	3	6	6	6

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION DOCUMENT, Department of Marketing Page 19 of 22 Faculty Evaluation: Criteria, Procedures and Techniques

Table 9: Instructor Service Unit Ratings

	Year	Year	Year	Year	
	3	4	5	6	
Evaluation	<u>F</u>	<u>CI</u>	<u>CI</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PPE</u>
E	3	4	5	5	
DAA	2	3	4	4	
A	1	2	3	3	3

F = Full Evaluation

CI = Comprehensive Interim Evaluation

T = Tenure Evaluation

PPE = Professional Performance Evaluation for Tenured Faculty

E = Exceptional Performance

DAA = Distinctly Above Average Performance

A = Average Performance

Exceptional (E)

Distinctly Above Average (DAA)

Average (A):

Below Average (BA)

APPENDIX A

Classroom Visitation Report

Evaluatee: _____ Course Visited: _____

Evaluator:	Evaluation semester/dat	e:/
PROFILES ITEMS		<u>NARRATIVE</u>
Learning Environment Uses a variety of teaching techniques. Uses a variety of instructional materials. Gives attention to the emotional atmosphere of Senses learning opportunities. Is dependable and punctual in meeting classes Motivates students through enthusiasm for the Is available for course and career counseling.	and returning student work.	Evidence on which judgment is based: (continue on back or attach additional page(s) as is needed).
Teaching Effectiveness Communicates effectively with students. Writes with skill. Develops student participation. Demonstrates skill in evaluating student perfor Emphasizes the development of student's think than simple memorization. Approaches teaching with stamina and vigor. Personal appearance is consistent with professional statements.	king and analytical skills, rather	
Organization, Management, and Control Prepares appropriate course syllabi, examination Recognizes own errors and is willing to make a list effective in classroom management. Handles student complaints effectively. Exhibits professionalism.		
Subject Matter Gives evidence of background preparation. Teaches the application of knowledge and theo themselves. Uses professional experience as examples in te Illustrates the inter-relatedness of his/her discip Gives evidence of maintaining up-to-date cour course offerings when appropriate.	eaching. bline with other's disciplines.	Overall Rating: Exceptional Distinctly Above Average Average Below Average (check one of the above boxes)
Evaluatee:	(Signature)/_	/(Date)
Evaluator:	(Signature)/_	/(Date)

Classroom Teaching Observation

APPENDIX B

FORM 1: Student Evaluation Questions

	PLEASE FILL IN 5	DIGIT SECTI	ON
EASTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY 12781	0 0 0		(
13/01			(
INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE EVALUATION FORM	(2) (2) (2		
This evaluation is one of many possible sources of data for use in:	3 3		(
1) student course selection,	4) (4) (4)	Property and the second	Ò
faculty development in teaching, and the evaluation of instructional effectiveness.	(5) (5) (5	(5)	(
	6 6 6	A August	(
A summary of the responses to the CORE ITEMS will be published.	(7) (7)	(7)	(
This evaluation is designed to be totally anonymous. Your instructor will neither	8 8 8		
see nor handle these forms until semester grades have been submitted.	9 9 9	10	(
CORE ITEMS and SUPPLIED QUESTIONS are based on different response formats.			
CORE ITEMS SUPPLIED QUESTIONS	ONLY RESPONSES	MADE IN PE	ENC
A = MUCH ABOVE AVERAGE SA = STRONGLY AGREE	CAN BE SCANNED.		
C = AVERAGE U = UNDECIDED	Please read each ite	em carefully	
D = BELOW AVERAGE D = DISAGREE E = MUCH BELOW AVERAGE SD = STRONGLY DISAGREE	Sample Response	00000	,
		A B C D E	1
CORE ITEM: WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL RATING OF THE TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS OF T	HIS INSTRUCTOR?	A) B) C)	D)
CORE ITEM: WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL RATING OF THIS COURSE?		A B C	
My instructor displays a clear understanding of course topics.		SA (A) (U)	(D) S
My instructor seems well-prepared for class.		SA A U	
My instructor speaks audibly and clearly.		SA (A) (U)	
My instructor displays enthusiasm when teaching.		SA A (U)	(D) 5
My instructor effectively blends facts with theory.		SA (A) (U)	(D) S
My instructor makes good use of examples and illustrations.		SA A U	(D) 5
I understand what is expected of me in this course.		SA (A) (U)	
The grading system was clearly explained.		SA A U	
Assignments are related to goals of this course.		SA (A) (U)	(D) S
I learned a lot in this course.		SA A U	
Hearned a lot in this course.		SA (A) (U)	
		SA A U	
		SA (A) (U)	
		SA A O	
		SA (A) (U)	
		SA A U	-
		SA (A) (U)	
		SA A U	
		SA (A) (U)	
		SA A U	
		SA A U	D) 5
3 a s	*		
COMMENTS WHAT DID YOU LIKE MOST ABOUT THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE?	4.00		
WHAT DID YOU DISLIKE MOST ABOUT THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE?			
WHAT DID YOU DISLIKE MOS T ABOUT THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE?			

APPENDIX C

FORM 2: Online Course Evaluation Survey

EASTERN WICE	HIGAN UNIVERSITY 1378	0 0 0	
	URSE EVALUATION FORM		
This evaluation is one of many possib		2 2 2 3 3 3	
1) student course sel	ection,	3 3	- Contract of the Contract of
2) faculty developmen	nt in teaching, and estructional effectiveness.	5 5 5	
,		6 6 6	
A summary of the responses to the CC		7 7	7
This evaluation is designed to be total see nor handle these forms until seme	lly anonymous. Your instructor will neither ester grades have been submitted.	8 8 8	
CORE ITEMS and SUPPLIED QUESTIONS		ONLY RESPONSES	MADE IN DE
CORE ITEMS A = MUCH ABOVE AVERAGE	SUPPLIED QUESTIONS SA = STRONGLY AGREE	CAN BE SCANNED.	
B = ABOVE AVERAGE C = AVERAGE	A = AGREE U = UNDECIDED	Please read each ite	
D = BELOW AVERAGE E = MUCH BELOW AVERAGE	D = DISAGREE SD = STRONGLY DISAGREE	Sample Response	
CORE ITEM: WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL RA	ATING OF THE TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS OF	F THIS INSTRUCTOR?	ABC
			SA (A) (U)
My instructor displays a clear u	rad for class		6A A (I)
My instructor seems well-prepa			6A A (1)
My instructor effectively blends	facts with theory.		SA A O
My instructor makes good use	of examples and illustrations.		SA A (U)
I understand what is expected of The grading system was clearly	or me in this course.		6A A (1)
Assignments are related to goa	le of this course		5A A U
I learned a lot in this course.	is of this course.	. *	5A A U
riearneu a lot in tilis course.			5A A (1)
			5A A 0
			SAAU
			6A A (U)
			6A A U
			6A (0)
			6 A O
			(A) (A) (A)
			(A) (A) (D)
			\$A (A) (U)
			\$A (A) (U)
	101-0-		88 8 6
*1.00	COMMENTS	** = #	
WHAT DID YOU LIKE MOST ABOUT THIS	SINSTRUCTOR AND COURSE?		
WHAT DID YOU LIKE MOST ABOUT THIS			