PHILADELPHIA REGION FIELDWORK CONSORTIUM LEVEL I FIELDWORK STUDENT EVALUATION (2nd ed)

Student name_____ Supervisor name (print)_____

Site name:				Site ID code:		Studen	Student Age:			
			Stude	Student ID code :			Student Gender: [M] [F]			
Semester: Year: School: Degree: Sequence Superviso	Easte [1] M	rn Michiga OT	[2] Winter [7] 2009 an University [2] 2 nd [2] COTA		[9] 2011 [4] NON-OT	[10] 2012 (please s	pecify)		/	
Indicate	e the stude	nt's level	of performan	nce using the s	scale below.					
1=Well Below Standards: 2=Below Standards: 3=Meets Standards: 4=Exceeds Standards: 5=Far Exceeds Standards:		Opportu Work is Carries be used Frequen s: Carries	Performance is weak in most required tasks and activities. Work is frequently unacceptable. Opportunities for improvement exist however student has not demonstrated adequate response to feedback. Work is occasionally unacceptable. Carries out required tasks and activities. This rating represents good, solid performance and should be used more than all the others. Frequently carries out tasks and activities that surpass requirements. At times, performance is exceptional. Carries out tasks and activities in consistently outstanding fashion. Performance is the best that could be expected from any student.							
Cons	e managemosider ability the ments:		npt, arrive on tir	me, complete as	signments on time	÷.	1 2 3	4	5	
Cons respo	anization sider ability tonsibilities nments:	o set prio	rities, be depend	dable, be organi	zed, follow throug	gh with	1 2 3	4	5	
Cons	Engagement in the fieldwork experience Consider student's apparent level of interest, level of active participation while on site; investment in individuals and treatment outcomes. Comments:					nile on site;	1 2 3	4	5	
Cons	. Self-Directed Learning Consider ability to take responsibility for own l Comments:				emonstrate motiva	ition.	1 2 3	4	5	
Cons syntl		o use self	_		uestions; ability to	o analyze,	1 2 3	4	5	

6. Written Communication Consider grammar, spelling, legibility, successful completion of written assignments, documentation skills. Comments:	1 2 3 4 5							
7. Initiative								
Consider initiative, ability to seek and acquire information from a variety of sour demonstrates flexibility as needed. Comments:	rces; 1 2 3 4 5							
8. Observation skills Consider ability to observe relevant behaviors related to occupational performan client factors, and to verbalize perceptions and observations. Comments:	ce and 1 2 3 4 5							
9. Participation in the Supervisory Process Consider ability to give, receive and respond to feedback; seek guidance when necessary; follow proper channels. Comments:	1 2 3 4 5							
10. Verbal communication and Interpersonal skills with patients/clients/staff/caregivers Consider ability to interact appropriately with individuals, such as eye contact, e setting, respectfulness, use of authority, etc; degree/quality of verbal interactions language and non-verbal communication; exhibits confidence. Comments:								
11. Professional and Personal Boundaries								
Consider ability to recognize/handle personal/professional frustrations; balance personal/professional obligations; handle responsibilities; work w/others cooperatively, considerately, effectively; responsiveness to social cues. Comments:								
12. Use of professional terminology								
Consider ability to respect confidentiality; appropriately apply professional term (such as the Occupational Therapy Practice Framework, acronyms, abbreviations written and oral communication. Comments:								
Final score: [] Pass [] Fail								
Requirements for passing: No more than one item below a "2", OR Student signature								
No more than two items below a "3". Supervisor signature								