PHILADELPHIA REGION FIELDWORK CONSORTIUM LEVEL I FIELDWORK STUDENT EVALUATION (2nd ed)

Student name						Supervisor name (print)							
Site name:						Site ID code: St			udent Age:				
Course number: Student ID co					de : _		Student Ge	Student Gender: [M] [F]					
Ye Sch De Sec	mester: ar: nool: gree: quence: pervisor:	Eastern [1] MO	Michiga Γ	n University	[3]3 rd		[9] 2016	[10] 2017	ase specify	у)			
							scale below.						
1=Well Below Standards: 2=Below Standards: 3=Meets Standards: 4=Exceeds Standards: 5=Far Exceeds Standards:			Performance is weak in most required tasks and activities. Work is frequently unacceptable. Opportunities for improvement exist however student has not demonstrated adequate response to feedback. Work is occasionally unacceptable. Carries out required tasks and activities. This rating represents good, solid performance and should be used more than all the others. Frequently carries out tasks and activities that surpass requirements. At times, performance is exceptional. Carries out tasks and activities in consistently outstanding fashion. Performance is the best that could be expected from any student.										
1.	Time man Consider a Comments	bility to l		npt, arrive on	time, comple	ete as	signments on time	e.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	2. Organization Consider ability to set priorities, be dependable, be organized, follow responsibilities Comments:						zed, follow throug	gh with	1	2	3	4	5
3.	Engagement in the fieldwork experience Consider student's apparent level of interest, level of active participation while investment in individuals and treatment outcomes. Comments:						nile on site;	1	2	3	4	5	
4.	Consider a	Self-Directed Learning Consider ability to take responsibility for own learn Comments:				ng; de	emonstrate motiva	ation.	1	2	3	4	5
5.		bility to and inter	use self	_	•		uestions; ability t	o analyze,	1	2	3	4	5

6. Written Communication Consider grammar, spelling, legibility, successful completion of written assignments, documentation skills. Comments:	1 2 3 4 5					
7. Initiative Consider initiative, ability to seek and acquire information from a variety of sources; demonstrates flexibility as needed. Comments:	1 2 3 4 5					
8. Observation skills Consider ability to observe relevant behaviors related to occupational performance and client factors, and to verbalize perceptions and observations. Comments:	1 2 3 4 5					
9. Participation in the Supervisory Process Consider ability to give, receive and respond to feedback; seek guidance when necessary; follow proper channels. Comments:	1 2 3 4 5					
10. Verbal communication and Interpersonal skills with patients/clients/ staff/caregivers Consider ability to interact appropriately with individuals, such as eye contact, empathy, limit setting, respectfulness, use of authority, etc; degree/quality of verbal interactions; use of body language and non-verbal communication; exhibits confidence. Comments:	1 2 3 4 5					
11. Professional and Personal Boundaries Consider ability to recognize/handle personal/professional frustrations; balance personal/professional obligations; handle responsibilities; work w/others cooperatively, considerately, effectively; responsiveness to social cues. Comments:	1 2 3 4 5					
12. Use of professional terminology Consider ability to respect confidentiality; appropriately apply professional terminology (such as the Occupational Therapy Practice Framework, acronyms, abbreviations, etc) in written and oral communication. Comments:	1 2 3 4 5					
Final score: [] Pass [] Fail						
Requirements for passing: No more than one item below a "2", OR Student signature						

Supervisor signature

No more than two items below a "3".