

**Undergraduate Research Stimulus Program  
Application Scoring Rubric**

<b>Originality and Acceptability</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>Clarity of goals</b> What will the project accomplish?	5	
<b>Originality and significance of goals</b> What related work has been done, how is the proposed project new, and what value will it add to the discipline? Most proposals should include references.	10	
<b>Appropriateness of methods for accomplishing goals</b> Does the project use the accepted methods of the discipline? Has IRB approval been issued or is this planned?	5	
<b>Appropriateness of timeline</b> Is the proposed project doable in the time allowed?	5	
<b>Mentoring of Student</b>		
<b>Collaboration on student's section of the proposal</b> Is the proposal written in language that can be understood by a non-expert? Is there evidence that the mentor has appropriately edited the proposal?	5	
<b>Clarity of faculty mentorship role</b> Does the mentor have a significant role in the project? Is his/her role clearly explained? Does faculty expertise complement the project?	10	
<b>Appropriateness of expectations for student</b> Are the project tasks clear? Are they reasonable for a student devoting approximately 10 hours/week on the project?	5	
<b>Clarity/appropriateness of learning outcomes</b> What are the pedagogical outcomes for the student?	5	
<b>Methods for monitoring learning outcomes</b> How will these outcomes be assessed?	5	
<b>Dissemination of Results</b>		
<b>Articulation of dissemination plans</b>	5	
<b>Adhere completely to guidelines</b>		
<b>Presence of CV and all proposal elements; page limits observed for proposal and CV</b>	5	
<b>TOTAL</b> <b>(65 pts possible)</b>		<b>Overall comments:</b>